

| То: | Executive Councillor for Finance & Resources: Councillor George Owers | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Report by: | Head of Finance | | | | | |
| Relevant scrutiny committee: | Council | 25 February 2016 | | | | |
| Wards affected: | All Wards | | | | | |

LIBERAL DEMOCRAT GROUP AMENDMENT TO:

Budget-Setting Report (BSR) 2016/17

(This overall amendment updates and replaces the amendment presented to Strategy & Resources Scrutiny Committee on 8 February 2016, further changes being shown thus)

Key Decision

Foreword to the Liberal Democrat Group Amendment

We support the continuation of certain key strategies underpinning the BSR which were started under our own leadership of the council: specifically for the sharing of back office and transactional services with other councils, closer working across Greater Cambridge on planning and transport strategy and the review of the council's own accommodation requirements. We are pleased to see the fruits of these start to emerge.

We are also happy that over the past year, the administration has responded to our call for the provision of sub-market housing on council land, funded from the Council's general fund.

Despite the recent acceptance of several more of our proposals we consider that the Labour administration's budget still shows the signs of short term thinking and inattention to many of the key issues and concerns of the city. Our amendment seeks to redress more of this.

We regard it as surprising that no overall annual uplift in car parking charges is proposed for the first time in over 10 years. This is in conflict with local transport strategies to discourage private traffic within the city - especially at a time when demand for car parks is buoyant. It also sits oddly with the administration's complaints about reduction of income from government.

We support the objective of maintaining overnight street lighting in the city and of working with the county council to achieve this. But we believe that it would be a much more financially and environmentally sustainable solution to upgrade the street lighting to LED bulbs, representing a 40-50% saving in cost and energy. Such an approach could keep the lights on and avoid the open-ended revenue subsidy currently proposed.

We oppose, and aim to prevent any repeat of the recent investment of substantial city council tax payers' funds well outside the city, such as the recent purchase of a B&Q site

at Haverhill. Intrinsic benefit to city residents as well as financial return should be achieved by investing within the city itself, for example through more much-needed affordable housing.

Our budget proposals not only reflect these concerns, but they strengthen the Council's overall revenue position and maintain reserves above their target level across the 5-year planning period.

They also enable the Council to address a series of other needs, clearly expressed by residents: for cleaner air, more greening of the environment, increased attention to road safety, humane measures to control street-based anti-social behaviour, volunteer involvement in helping refugees, a stronger response to developers who try to escape affordable housing obligations and those who fail to deliver on their approved plans and conditions.

Tim Bick

Leader, Liberal Democrat Group

1. Executive summary

- 1.1 This report sets out amendments proposed by the Lib Dem Group to the overall set of budget proposals which were agreed by the Executive at its meeting on 21 January 2016, for recommendation to Council on 25 February 2016, subject to any Executive Amendment agreed by The Leader at this committee following the publication of the Final Settlement.
- 1.2 The Lib Dem Group budget amendment:
 - Ensures direct benefit for the residents of the city is coupled with financial return when funds are invested, and that the £7m investment in Haverhill's B&Q site is not repeated;
 - Maintains the transport priority of encouraging use of public transport within the city by increasing overall car parking charges by 2%;
 - Reduces energy consumption and costs <u>and</u> keeps the night-time streetlights on, by offering the County Council to split the cost of upgrading streetlights in the city to LED;
 - Continues and expands tree planting in the city to start to meet the recommended increase in the city's tree cover;
 - Provides resources for more humane and sustainable solutions to anti-social behaviour from within the 'streetlife' community;
 - Addresses road safety concerns by funding additional lightweight speed test kits for use in the city by residents' groups through the Police's Community Speedwatch scheme;
 - Reinforces a warm welcome for refugees coming to the city, by establishing a coordination point for offers of voluntary help and a source of practical specialist advice to refugees;

- Seeks improvement in air quality by speeding up the adoption of electric vehicles as taxis in the city through the introduction of financial incentives and new Rapid Charging points;
- Safeguards the supply of affordable homes by strengthening the Council's ability to challenge developers who claim they are unviable;
- Increases capacity to hold developers to their approved plans and conditions in new construction and to enforce corrections where necessary;
- Defers the provision for income from increased events on public open spaces, until public confidence has been restored about excessive commercialisation and physical damage.

2. Recommendations

2.1 Changes to recommendations are highlighted *in italics, changes from the first circulation are highlighted in grey*

Recommendations of the Executive to this Council, as agreed at their meeting on 21 January 2016, subject to any Executive Amendment agreed by The Leader at this committee following the publication of the Final Settlement are further amended as follows:

For the existing recommendation "2: Recommendations", add:

General Fund Revenue Budgets: [Section 5, Page 28 refers] add:

- Together with the changes in the attached Lib Dem Budget Amendment to Appendices [B a-d]
- That the Council notes and welcomes the agreement by the Executive to Lib Dem budget amendment items B0002 and B0003
- Recommend to the Licensing Committee that the Council waives private hire licence fees in respect of electrically powered vehicles (EPV) for five years for both new and licence renewals up to 31 March 2021, to cover all such new EPV licences for a period of 5 years, acknowledging that any shortfall in income so created within the Public Control account will be met from the General Fund (Budget proposal B0005 refers)
- Call upon the Executive to increase parking charges by such individual sums as to achieve an increase of 2% overall in car parking income (Budget proposal II0001 refers)

Capital: [Section7, page 33 refers]

For the existing recommendation 2 f) After "Agree any recommendations to the Executive add "together with the changes in the attached Lib Dem Budget Budget Amendment to Appendix [D(a)]", specifically to recommend that Executive Councillor for Planning Policy & Transport to include this project in the Council's capital process.

| For the existing recommendation 2 g) After "Agree the revised Capital Plan add "together with the changes in the attached Lib Dem Budget Amendment to Appendix [D(a)]", subject to the Executive Councillor for Planning Policy & Transport's decision as above |
|---|
| Earmarked Reserves [Section 4, Page 19 and Section 5, Page 31 refers] |
| To amend the existing remit for the Invest for Income Fund and to add a new earmarked reserve for street lighting as detailed in Annex 1 attached |
| Equality Impact Assessment |
| Append Lib Dem Budget Amendment Appendix F Equality Impact Assessment to the existing Equality Impact Assessment |

3. Council Tax

3.1 No changes are being proposed by the Lib Dem Group.

4. Capital

The Lib Dem Group are proposing items identified "Lib Dem Budget Amendment to [D(a) Capital proposals] and also to "seek the recommendation of the Executive Councillor for Planning Policy & Transport for this project's inclusion into the Council's capital process i.e. preparation of an outline business case (Part A), preparation of a full business case (Part B), both reviewed by the Capital Programme Board and inclusion on either the Projects Under Development list or Capital Plan, as appropriate"

5. Implications

All budget proposals have a number of implications. A decision not to approve a revenue bid will impact on managers' ability to deliver the service or scheme in question and could have financial, staffing, equality and poverty, environmental, procurement, consultation and communication and / or community safety implications. A decision not to approve a capital or external bid will impact on managers' ability to deliver the developments desired in the service areas.

(a) **Financial Implications**

The financial implications are outlined in the Budget Setting Report 2016/17, *as amended by [Lib Dem Budget Amendment]*

(b) Staffing Implications

See text above

(c) Equality and Poverty Implications

A consolidated Equality Impact Assessment is included at Appendix F in the attached Budget Setting Report 2016/17, *as amended by [Lib Dem Budget Amendment]*

(d) Environmental Implications

Where relevant, officers have considered the environmental impact of budget proposals.

(e) **Procurement Implications**

Any procurement implications will be outlined in the Budget Setting Report 2016/17, *as amended by [Lib Dem Budget Amendment]*

(f) Consultation and Communication Implications

As outlined in 3 above, budget proposals are based on the requirements of statutory and discretionary service provision. Public consultations are undertaken throughout the year and can be seen at:

https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/budget-consultation

(g) **Community Safety Implications**

Any community safety implications will be outlined in the Budget Setting Report 2016/17, *as amended by [Lib Dem Budget Amendment]*

(h) Section 25 Report

These budget amendments would not require any substantive changes to the existing Section 10 – Section 25 Report.

There are three types of amendment:-

 General Fund (GF) revenue amendments – various spending proposals are matched in total or slightly exceeded by a proposed increase in parking charges.

It should be noted that changes to parking charges are subject to a decision by the Executive Councillor for Planning Policy and Transport. If this is forthcoming, the revenue spending proposals are affordable and overall the proposals have a small positive impact on general fund reserves. Total parking income may, however, be affected by general economic conditions, as noted in the BSR, Appendix C – Sensitivity Analysis.

• Spending proposal funded from New Homes Bonus (NHB) – planning enforcement officer, five year appointment.

Considerable uncertainty exists over the future of NHB funding. This is subject to consultation, but significant reductions in funding levels are expected. The assumption is that reductions will be applied first to the portion of NHB allocated to the City Deal Investment and Delivery Fund. However, there is a risk that there will be insufficient NHB funding to support this additional spending or that agreements may be made with partners which alters the spending priorities of this funding. As the annual amount is relatively small, this risk could be mitigated by funding this post from other revenue resources, thereby increasing the savings requirement by £40k.

 Creation of a Streetlighting Earmarked Reserve from the GF Reserve -£200k p.a. for five years.

This proposal is dependent on agreement with the County Council, and would release small amounts of revenue funding year on year. Whilst it reduces the level of GF reserves, this remains at or above target level over the planning period.

I therefore consider, in relation to the budget resulting from the application of this amendment, that the estimates for the financial year 2016/17 to be sufficiently robust and the financial reserves up to 31 March 2017 to be adequate.

Caroline Ryba Head of Finance and S151 Officer

6. Background papers

These background papers were used in the preparation of this report:

- Budget-Setting Report 2016/17 Version 1, February 2016 (covering 2016/17 to 2020/21) as updated at Strategy and Resources Scrutiny Committee on 18 January 2016, the Executive meeting on 21 January 2016, the Executive Amendment at this meeting and for the [Lib Dem Amendment].
- Mid-year Financial Review (MFR) 2015
- Individual Equality Impact Assessments

7. Appendices

Lib Dem Budget Amendment:

- Amendment to Appendix [B a-d] Revenue Budget proposals
- Amendment to Appendix [D(a)] Capital Budget proposals
- Appendix [F] Equality Impact Assessment (Supplement)
- Annex 1 amendment to and new remit for Earmarked Reserves
- Replacement of relevant tables in the BSR

8. Inspection of papers

To inspect the background papers or if you have a query on the report please contact:

Author's Name: Author's Phone Number: Author's Email: Caroline Ryba 01223 - 458134 caroline.ryba@cambridge.gov.uk

Lib Dem Budget Amendment to Appendix [B (a), (b), (c), (d)]

2016/17 Budget - Bids, Savings and External Bids- GF

| Reference | Item Description | 2016/17 Budget £ | 2017/18 Budget £ | 2018/19 Budget £ | 2019/20 Budget £ | 2020/21 Budget £ | Contact / Climate rating / Poverty ratina | Portfolio |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--------------------------------|
| Appen | dix [B(a) - Bids & reduced income] | | | | | | | |
| Bids | | | | | | | | |
| B0001 | Tree Planting programme [5 years] | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | Alistair Wilson | City Centre & Public Places |
| Page 8 | Boosting the growth of the city's tree cover by provid This supports the recommendations of the tree audit to which an across-the-board increase on both publi mitigate the effects of climate change and contribu- wider campaign seeking contributions from business the participation of the city's primary schools in a sch pupil, for planting at home, a designated part of the education about the importance of trees to the envi maintenance. This scheme will complement the Cou have the potential to increase the city's tree stock by scheme will be available for further Council-directed | report commi ic and private te to human es and other of neme enablin public realm ironment and uncil's existing y up to 5,000 of | issioned by a land is rec wellbeing . city institution g a gift of c or school p about tech "baby tree over 5 year | the Counc quired to rea The program ons. As a key a young tree premises, int nniques of p e'' scheme a s. Any residu | il in 2013, ac duce air pol mme will pro y element it e to each ye egrated wit planting and and is estime | cording lution, omote a will seek ear 4 h l ated to | +M 3.0 | |
| B0002 | Referrals to the Chronically Excluded Adult programme [4 years] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Lynda Kilkelly | Strategy & |
| 00002 | programme [4 years] | | Transformation | | | | | |

Proposal now incorporated into the Executive recommendation, £33k for 4 years.

| Reference | Item Description | 2016/17 Budget £ | 2017/18 Budget £ | 2018/19 Budget £ | 2019/20 Budget £ | 2020/21 Budget £ | Contact / Climate rating / Poverty ratina | Portfolio |
|-----------|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| B0003 | Speed Test Kits | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Lynda Kilkelly | Strategy & Transformation |
| | Funding for two lightweight speed test kits for use by r Police's Community Speedwatch initiative. Residents Policing consultations at area committees across the objectives in introducing 20 mph zones in residential s difficulty in dedicating officers to frequent speed che to residents' groups training, the loan of equipment a availability of equipment is an important constraint o up-to-date, lightweight equipment. | +L 2.5 | | | | | | |
| Page B | Proposal now incorporated into the Executive recom | mendation, s | £5k in 2016/ | 17. | | | | |
| е в | Support to Refugees [2 years] | 25,000 | 25,000 | - | - | - | | Communities |
| | Commissioning a 0.5 FTE role within the not-for-profit s on immigration procedures and benefits) and a co-o from the Cambridge community. The world is experie repression and climate change and the UK can exper humanitarian grounds. The City Council, together with contribution to the government's commitment to pro Middle East and voluntary community help can enrice country outside the government programme, often w indicated its general intention to do what it can to we support have been forthcoming which the Council is would establish a central point from which this could officers. | Nil 8.0 | | | | | | |

| Reference | Item Description | 2016/17 Budget £ | 2017/18 Budget £ | 2018/19 Budget £ | 2019/20 Budget £ | 2020/21 Budget £ | Contact / Climate rating / Poverty ratina | Portfolio |
|------------|---|--|--|--|---|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| B0005 | Incentivised acquisition of electrically powered Private Hire Vehicles | 1,000 | 2,000 | 3,000 | 4,000 | 5,000 | Yvonne O'Donnell | Planning Policy & Transport |
| Page | Reduce to zero the annual licence fee for Private Hire years from their initial licensing where that occurs beth general fund subsidy to the licensing account enablin other licence holders. The initial assumption made (to electric vehicles. The scheme adds to the incentives of petrol vehicles, seeking to achieve lower emissions and current bid for government grant to incentivise the sa investment required is currently considerably greater provision proposed assumes the Licensing Committee and conduct further consultation on this change. | rovides a es on will be for iesel and ouncil's ere the e | +M 2.5 | | | | | |
| вбооб | Affordable Housing viability analyst | 52,000 | 52,000 | 52,000 | 52,000 | 52,000 | Sarah Dyer | Planning Policy & Transport |
| 10 | Our Local Plan's requirement for 40% of new housing ounder threat from "viability" claims from developers. such claims. This provision is for the creation of a new Officer) in order to generate the detailed local analysis brush approach to the Cambridge market. Such an our 106 negotiations and elsewhere in the housing sector. | It is vital that position at B sis that is nec officer would | the Counc and 7 (equi cessary to c | cil is fully reso ivalent to Pr ounter clair | ourced to ch incipal Plan ns based or | nallenge ning 1 a broad | Nil 5.0 | |
| RI0001 | Delete \$3837 Parks and Open Space – Event Income | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | Alistair Wilson | City Centre & Public Places |
| | After the Ice-Rink on Parkers Piece over Christmas, the city's open spaces through intensity of their use for big with other equally valid uses, the implications of long abuse by excessive commercialisation. A thoroughgo events on the city's open spaces must take place prior which may be reconsidered only in a future year whe has been put in place. In the meantime it is inapprop have been admitted to be "speculative" to drive ma | onflict Dermitting Dving 7 \$3837, dence | Nil 2.5 | | | | | |
| Total Bids | | 123,000 | 124,000 | 100,000 | 101,000 | 102,000 | | |

| Reference | Item Description | 2016/17 Budget £ | 2017/18 Budget £ | 2018/19 Budget £ | 2019/20 Budget £ | 2020/21 Budget £ | Contact / Climate rating / Poverty rating | Portfolio |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Append | dix [B(b) - Savings / increased income] | | | | | | | |
| 110001 | Raise parking charges in City Council car parks to increase revenue by 2% | (180,000) | (180,000) | (180,000) | (180,000) | (180,000) | Paul Necus | Planning Policy & Transport |
| σ | It is consistent with established local transport strateg alternative sustainable modes of transport, in particu- line with charges for other council services, bringing p more attractive versus the alternatives, introducing a income to support services, the city's air quality and Current buoyancy of demand for the car parks unde year. This provision assumes the Executive Councillor and a new consultation taking place during March. | lar Park and private vehic contradicto environment rlines that th | Ride. If cha cles into the ory incentive and its con e market co | rges are not city centre from which gestion pro an bear an a | t increased becomes re the counc blems will c annual incre | at least in elatively ill's all suffer. ease this | +L 1.0 | |
| P a Tegal Savii | ngs | (180,000) | (180,000) | (180,000) | (180,000) | (180,000) | | |
| Net Bids / | ' Savings | (57,000) | (56,000) | (80,000) | (79,000) | (78,000) | | |

| | | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | Contact / | |
|-----------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|-----------|
| Reference | Item Description | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Climate rating / | Portfolio |
| | | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | Poverty rating | |

Appendix [B(d) Non-Cash Limit]

| NCL0001 | Street Lighting Earmarked Reserve [5 years] | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | Caroline Ryba | Finance & Resources |
|--|--|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|------------------------|
| Page | LED lighting provides a 40-50% energy and cost saving time of undertaking its renewal of street lighting across will achieve a sustainable financial saving for the cou- commitment for revenue contributions from the City of reduce Cambridge's carbon footprint, leading the w follow in converting to LED. This item enables an offer be 50% of the cost of converting the city's street light rolling maintenance programme for all lighting colun- created, into which will be paid £200k in each of the | +H 3.0 | | | | | | |
| <u>→</u> | Any unused portion of the earmarked reserve after ne General Fund Reserves. | | | | | | | |
| N | The offer to the county council will be conditional on Council provided by B3821 over the 5 year period wit acceptance that the City Council's conversion contr reduction in lighting levels which had not been agree | on , | | | | | | |
| Total Non- | Cash Limit | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | | |
| All Portfolios - Net Impact of Lib Dem Amendment 143,000 144,000 120,000 121,000 122,000 | | | | | | | | |

| | | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | Contact / | |
|-----------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------------------|-----------|
| Reference | Item Description | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Climate rating / | Portfolio |
| | | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ | Poverty rating | |

Appendix [B(c) - External Bids]

Environment - Planning Policy & Transport

| X0001 | Additional Planning Enforcement Officer [5 years] | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | Sarah Dyer | Planning Policy & Transport |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|----------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Page 1 Total Extern | From the planning process developers are expected to to discharge any conditions that are imposed. The pace follow-up and investigatory work to ensure construction enforcement measures are applied. Failure to do this c This proposal provides for an additional position within t appointment to be funded from New Homes Bonus. | e of growth matches u an impact o | n in Cambrid p with perm adversely of | dge has exp nission and i n new resid | banded the f necessary ents and ne | need for | Nil 2.0 | |

Lib Dem Budget Amendment to Appendix [D(a) Capital proposals]

| 2016/17 Budget - Capital Bids - GF | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| eference | : Item Description | 2016/17 Budget £ | 2017/18 Budget £ | 2018/19 Budget £ | 2019/20 Budget £ | 2020/21 Budget £ | Contact / Climate rating / Poverty rating | Portfolio | | | |
| lann | ing Policy & Transport Portfolio | | | | | | | | | | |
| apito | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| utline k | to the recommendation of the Executive Councillor for ousiness case (Part A), preparation of a full business co oment list or Capital Plan, as appropriate] | | | | | | | | | | |
| ⁰⁰¹ Page | Electric Vehicle Rapid Charging points This project is for the delivery of 6 rapid charge p residents and for the wider UK population. Partner sponsorship or local businesses or public bodies s | ership funding could | be sought from a | wide range of | options; grant aid, | cars for both | 0 Jo Dicks | Planning Polic & Transport | | | |
| 14 | Rapid chargers are high-kilowatt charging points standard charge points - in many cases as little of vehicles in the UK by helping to overcome a nun enabling drivers to quickly and conveniently top adoption of plug-in vehicles by fleets where vehi | as 30 minutes. They h nber of barriers to a -up their vehicle's c | have an important doption. Rapid cho harge without beir | role to play in i argers can help ng unduly delay | ncreasing the upto to facilitate longe ved. They can help | ake of plug-in er journeys by with the | +M 2.5 | | | | |
| | and where rapid chargers would be of benefit. F ranks, allowing taxi drivers to quickly top up their approval, this project would be presented to the Financing] | or example, this cou battery's charge wh | uld support taxi or hilst waiting for the | private hire flee ir next custome | ets, through their in r. Subject to Execu | stallation in tax utive Councillor | i | | | | |
| ortfolic | o Total | 50,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | | |

| ortfolio Total | 50,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|----------------|--------|---------|---|---|---|
| | | | | | |

Lib Dem Budget Amendment to [Appendix E]

2016/17 Budget - Earmarked Funds

Earmarked & Specific Funds (all figures in £'000s)

| Add: | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Fund | Balance at April 2016 | Contributions | Commitment | Balance at 31 March 2021 |
| Street Lighting Fund | 0.0 | 1,000.0 | 0.0 | (1,000.0) |
| Revised Total | (4,774.0) | (47,990.0) | 18,909.0 | (33,855,0) |

Lib Dem Budget Amendment – Replacement Tables

New Homes Bonus (BSR, page 18) unchanged from original Lib Dem proposals

| New Homes Bonus | 2015/16 £000 | 2016/17 £000 | 2017/18 £000 | 2018/19 £000 | 2019/20 £000 | 2020/21 £000 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Confirmed NHB funding at February 2015 BSR | (4,963) | (4,963) | (4,176) | (3,441) | (2,878) | (1,587) |
| Add | | | | | | |
| Confirmed NHB receipts for 2016/17 | - | (1,360) | (1,360) | (1,360) | (1,360) | (1,360) |
| Estimated NHB receipts for 2017/18 | - | - | (1,726) | (1,726) | (1,726) | (1,726) |
| Estimated NHB receipts for 2018/19 | - | - | - | (2,004) | (2,004) | (2,004) |
| Estimated NHB receipts for 2019/20 | - | - | - | - | (1,726) | (1,726) |
| Estimated NHB receipts for 2020/21 | - | - | - | - | - | (1,573) |
| Potential New Homes Bonus Total | (4,963) | (6,323) | (7,262) | (8,531) | (9,694) | (9,976) |
| Commitments against NHB | | | | | | |
| Funding for officers supporting growth e.g. within planning | 785 | 785 | 785 | 785 | 785 | 785 |
| Replacement of Homelessness Prevention Funding subsumed into the SFA | 564 | 564 | 564 | 564 | 564 | 564 |
| Public Realm Officer - Growth X3782 | - | 35 | 35 | 35 | - | - |
| Planning Enforcement Officer | | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| Direct revenue funding of capital | 1,170 | 1,075 | 1,075 | 1,075 | 1,075 | 1,075 |
| Contribution to City Deal Investment and Delivery Fund | 1,985 | 3,162 | 3,631 | 4,266 | 4,847 | 4,988 |
| Contribution to A14 mitigation Fund | - | - | - | - | 1,500 | - |
| Total commitments against NHB | 4,504 | 5,661 | 6,130 | 6,765 | 8,811 | 7,452 |
| NHB uncommitted | (459) | (663) | (1,132) | (1,767) | (883) | (2,524) |

General Fund Projection (BSR, page 32)

| Description | 2015/16 £000 | 2016/17 £000 | 2017/18 £000 | 2018/19 £000 | 2019/20 £000 | 2020/21 £000 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Expenditure | | | | | | |
| Net service budgets | 19,631 | 18,419 | 19,095 | 20,208 | 21,277 | 23,245 |
| Revenue Budget Proposals - MFR including removing PPF | - | 366 | 65 | (210) | (310) | (410) |
| Revenue Budget Proposals - BSR | - | (692) | (160) | (286) | (651) | (651) |
| Impact of amended Lib Dem Budget proposals | - | (57) | (56) | (80) | (79) | (78) |
| Capital accounting adjustments | (5,422) | (5,422) | (5,422) | (5,422) | (5,422) | (5,422) |
| Capital expenditure financed from revenue | 10,726 | 1,787 | 1,798 | 1,798 | 1,786 | 1,786 |
| Contributions to earmarked funds | 11,026 | 9,307 | 7,022 | 6,549 | 7,741 | 6,887 |
| Revised net savings requirement | - | 103 | (174) | (336) | (1,347) | (1,713) |
| Contribution to reserves | - | - | - | 65 | 221 | - |
| Net spending requirement | 35,961 | 23,811 | 22,168 | 22,285 | 23,216 | 23,644 |
| | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Funded by: | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Settlement Funding Assessment (SFA) | (6,890) | (5,864) | (5,090) | (4,670) | (4,240) | (4,320) |
| Locally Retained Business Rates – Growth Element | (800) | (800) | (800) | (800) | (800) | (800) |
| Other grants from central government | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Homes Bonus (NHB) | (4,963) | (6,323) | (7,262) | (8,531) | (9,694) | (9,976) |
| Appropriations from earmarked funds | (14,803) | (549) | (382) | (382) | (382) | (382) |
| Council Tax | (7,060) | (7,292) | (7,709) | (7,902) | (8,100) | (8,100) |
| Contributions from reserves | (1,446) | (2,983) | (925) | - | - | (66) |
| Total funding | (35,961) | (23,811) | (22,168) | (22,285) | (23,216) | (23,644) |

Capital Funding Available (BSR, page 35) unchanged from original Lib Dem proposals

| Capital Funding Available | 2016/17 £000 | 2017/18 £000 | 2018/19 £000 | 2019/20 £000 | 2020/21 £000 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Funding available and unapplied (MFR Oct 2015) | (839) | (1,548) | (1,548) | (1,786) | (1,786) |
| Schemes removed from Capital Plan | (291) | - | - | - | - |
| Capital Bids requiring Funding | 1,079 | - | - | - | - |
| Lib Dem Budget Amendment: Rapid charge points for electric vehicles | 50 | 100 | | | |
| Net Funding Available | (1) | (1,448) | (1,548) | (1,786) | (1,786) |

General Fund Reserves (BSR, page 40)

| Description | 2015/16 £000 | 2016/17 £000 | 2017/18 £000 | 2018/19 £000 | 2019/20 £000 | 2020/21 £000 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Balance as at 1 April (b/fwd) | (11,525) | (10,079) | (7,096) | (6,171) | (6,236) | (6,457) |
| Contribution (to) / from reserves | 1,446 | 2,840 | 781 | (185) | (342) | (56) |
| Impact of Lib Dem Budget proposals | | (57) | (56) | (80) | (79) | (78) |
| Street Lighting Earmarked Reserve | | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| Net use of Reserves | 1,446 | 2,983 | 925 | (65) | (221) | 66 |
| Balance as at 31 March (c/fwd) | (10,079) | (7,096) | (6,171) | (6,236) | (6,457) | (6,391) |